

Decision _____

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Destiny Home Health Services, Inc.,

Complainant,

vs.

Southern California Edison Company (U338E),

Defendant.

(ECP)

Case 12-08-014

(Filed August 21, 2012)

DECISION APPROVING SETTLEMENT OFFER

Complainant, Destiny Home Health Services, Inc. (Destiny) contends that the Defendant, Southern California Edison Company (Edison) has double billed it since January 2, 2004, for a second meter at the service address. In its complaint, Destiny requests that 50% (\$5,832) of the charges, billed to it since January 2, 2004, be refunded.

Edison asserts that when service was established at the service address, two meters were already in place and Destiny did not request removal. Edison notes that no usage has ever registered on the second meter since service was established in Destiny's name. However, pursuant to Edison's tariff, the second meter was subject to a Customer Charge, a fixed amount charged to a customer's account each billing cycle, whether or not there is any energy consumption.

According to Edison, on May 7, 2010, Destiny contacted Edison about the second meter and an Edison representative told Destiny that service to the

second meter could be turned off since zero usage had registered. Edison states that Destiny did not request a turn-off order at that time.

On August 12, 2011, Destiny contacted Edison and requested that service at the second meter be turned off. The turn-off request was completed on August 15, 2011. On August 24, 2011, Destiny contacted Edison to have the second meter removed. The second meter was removed on September 8, 2011.

Edison states that it offered to reverse Customer Charges of \$398.63, an amount representing the Customer Charges accrued since May 2010 when Destiny first contacted Edison about the second meter. Destiny declined the settlement offer.

Destiny failed to appear at the November 5, 2012 hearing on this matter. Edison was present at the November 5th hearing and reiterated its willingness to refund the Customer Charges accrued since May 2010. Edison also moved to dismiss the complaint. Edison's settlement offer of a \$398.63 refund to Destiny is approved and its motion to dismiss is denied. No other relief is warranted.

Assignment of Proceeding

Catherine J.K. Sandoval is the assigned Commissioner and Linda A. Rochester is the assigned Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding.

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Southern California Edison Company's settlement offer to Destiny Home Health Services, Inc., is approved.
2. Southern California Edison Company will credit the account of Destiny Home Health Services, Inc., \$398.63.
3. This proceeding is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.